| STATE OF SOUTH CAROLI | NA) | PEEOD | FTHE 189832 |
|--|---|---|--|
| (C |) | BEFOR PUBLIC SERVIC | IN KILLS |
| (Caption of Case) | | OF SOUTH CAROLINA | |
| Application of Utilities Services | s of | | |
| South Carolina, Inc. for adjustm | | COVER | SHEET |
| rates and charges and modificat | IOIIS TO | | |
| certain terms and conditions for provision of water and sewer se | FUSICIA | DOCKET | 000 140 |
| provision of water and sewer se | Dept: 5.4. | - NUMBER: 2007 | . <u>286</u> <u>-</u> <u>WS</u> |
| | 17/10/07 | materi | |
| | Date: 12/11/20 | | |
| | Time: 7,55 | 2540 | |
| (Please type or print) Submitted by: John M.S. Hoefer | | SC Bar Number: $\frac{2549}{9929252}$ | 2200 |
| Submitted by: John M.S. Hoefer | | Telephone: 803-252- Fax: 803-771- | |
| Address: Post Office Box 8416 | | Other: <u>803-771</u> | -2410 |
| Columbia, SC 29202 | 2 | | ************************************** |
| 44 AV | | Email: jhoefer@willoughb | yhoefer.com |
| NOTE: The cover sheet and information as required by law. This form is requi | | | |
| be filled out completely. | red for use by the Public Service Co | ininission of South Caronna for th | e purpose of docketting and must |
| D.C. | OCKETING INFORMAT | FION (Check all that apply) | |
| | | quest for item to be placed on | Commission's Agenda |
| Emergency Relief demanded | | peditiously | 8 |
| ⊠ Other: | | | |
| INDUSTRY (Check one) | NATURE OF ACTION (Check all that apply) | | |
| | | | |
| Electric Electric | Affidavit | Letter | Request |
| Electric/Gas | Agreement | Memorandum | Request for Certification |
| Electric/Telecommunications | Answer | Motion | Request for Investigation |
| Electric/Water | Appellate Review | ○ Objection ○ Description ○ Des | Resale Agreement |
| Electric/Water/Telecom. | Application | Petition | Resale Amendment |
| Electric/Water/Sewer | Brief | Petition for Reconsideration | Reservation Letter |
| Gas | Certificate | Petition for Rulemaking | Response |
| Railroad | Comments | Petition for Rule to Show Cause | Response to Discovery |
| Sewer | Complaint | Petition to Intervene | Return to Petition |
| Telecommunications | Consent Order | Petition to Intervene Out of Time | |
| Transportation | Discovery | Prefiled Testimony | Subpoena |
| Water | Exhibit | Promotion | Tariff |
| | Expedited Consideration | Proposed Order | Other: |
| Administrative Matter | Interconnection Agreement | Protest | RECEIVED |
| Other: | _ Interconnection Amendment | | OF CORE CORE |
| | Late-Filed Exhibit | Report | DEC 10 2007 |
| | | | PSC SC |
| | Print Form | Reset Form | DOCKETING DEPT. |

WILLOUGHBY & HOEFER, P.A.

ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW
930 RICHLAND STREET
P.O. BOX 8416
COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29202-8416

MITCHELL M. WILLOUGHBY JOHN M.S. HOEFER ELIZABETH ZECK* RANDOLPH R. LOWELL BENJAMIN P. MUSTIAN M. MCMULLEN TAYLOR

*ALSO ADMITTED IN TX

AREA CODE 803 TELEPHONE 252-3300 TELECOPIER 256-8062

TRACEY C. GREEN

December 10, 2007

VIA HAND-DELIVERY

The Honorable Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk/Administrator **Public Service Commission of South Carolina** 101 Executive Center Drive Columbia, South Carolina 29210 RECEIVED

2007 DEC 10 PM 2: 5

SC PUBLIC SERVICE

SC PUBLIC SERVICE

RE: Application of Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. for adjustment of rates and charges and modifications to certain terms and conditions for the provision of water and sewer service; Docket No. 2007-286-WS

Dear Mr. Terreni:

I am writing to you in reference to the public hearings held by the Commission in the above referenced matter. As you are aware, at the commencement of each hearing, Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. ("USSC") made a continuing objection to testimony consisting of unsubstantiated complaints regarding customer service, quality of service, or customer relations issues. The basis of this objection was that the receipt and reliance on such testimony would deny the applicant due process of law, permits complaint procedures established under law and Commission Regulations to be circumvented and is not a proper basis to determine just and reasonable rates. In responding to the objection, the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") requested USSC to submit a letter to the Commission setting forth the specific portions of the testimony to which the Company objects, the reason for the Company's objection, and the identity of the speaker.

In accordance with ORS's request, USSC herein sets forth the portions of the testimony to which it objects. For the public hearing held in Rock Hill on November 7, 2007, USSC objects to the following:

Witness Brett Reed

Page 12, line 13 through Page 13, line 15 Page 14, lines 8-11 Page 14, lines 18-21 RECEIVED

DEC 10 2007

PSC SC DOCKETING DEPT. Page 15, line 19 through Page 16, line 10

Page 16, lines 17-19

Page 16, lines 22-25

Page 17, lines 15-19

Page 17, line 22 through Page 18, line 22

Witness Brent Morehead

Page 19, lines 13-17

Witness Betty Aldridge

Page 24, line 20 through Page 26, line 3 Page 26, line 21 through Page 27, line 11

Witness Mark Kendrick

Page 28, lines 4-12

Witness Alexander George

Page 29, line 24 through Page 31, line 1 Page 31, line 17 through Page 32, line 9

Witness Mark Jennings

Page 32, lines 23-25 Page 34, lines 2-16

Witness Linda Hogan Fick

Page 35, line 21 through Page 40, line 21

Witness Earl Bridges

Page 50, lines 1-7

Witness Essmaeil Maghsood

Page 54, line 9 through Page 55, line 1 Page 55, line 21 through Page 57, line 13 Page 58, line 9 through Page 59, line 6 Page 60, line 2 through Page 61, line 15 Page 61, line 22 through Page 62, line 4

Witness Hardy Russell

Page 64, lines 9-12

Witness Steve DeGange

Page 67, line 21 through Page 68, line 4

Witness Stephanie DeGange

Page 70, line 1 through Page 71, line 18

Witness David Gill

Page 72, lines 13-21 Page 73, lines 2-7

Witness Kevin Rogers

Page 75, lines 10-17 Page 76, line 22 through Page 77, line 11

Witness Bill Bracken

Page 78, line 1 through Page 79, line 15

Witness Jim Knowlton

Page 81, line 11 through page 82, line 1

The Company also objects to the following Hearing Exhibits filed with the Commission at the Rock Hill hearing purporting to support or corroborate the portions of testimony to which USSC objects.

Hearing Exhibit #1 sponsored by Witness Linda Hogan Fick

Hearing Exhibit #2 sponsored by Witness Essmaeil Maghsood

For the public hearing held in Anderson on November 7, 2007, USSC objects to the following testimony:

Witness Kevin L. Bryant

Page 11, line 25 through Page 12, line 3 Page 12, lines 10-12

Witness Melanie Wilson

Page 24, line 22 through Page 25, line 1

Witness Brooks S. Brown, IV

Page 27, lines 3-6

Page 27, lines 13-18

Page 28, line 5 through Page 29, line 3

Page 29 lines 13-20

Witness William Cooke

Page 31, lines 4-12

Page 31, line 25 through page 32, line 2

Witness Scott Johnson

Page 41, line 21 through Page 42, line 23

Page 43, lines 13-17

Page 44, lines 9-21

Witness James Bredenkamp

Page 46, line 25 through Page 47, line 1

Witness David Loudin

Page 50, lines 1-3

Witness Lee Leary

Page 51, lines 13-16

Witness Catherine Adams

Page 54, lines 9-12

Witness Darrel Rogers

Page 56, line 24 through Page 57, line 8

Page 57, line 15 through Page 58, line 15

Page 58, line 22 through Page 60, line 5

Witness Anthony Thompson

Page 63, lines 7-11

Witness Mike Loftis

Page 64, line 19 through Page 65, line 1 Page 65, lines 8-13

Witness Louise Chasteen

Page 71, lines 11-16

Witness Eugene Stucky

Page 75, lines 10-11 Page 75, line 23 through Page 76, line 1

Witness Peter Kratz

Page 79, line 19 through Page 80, line 23 Page 81, line 19 Page 82, lines 19-24

Witness Larry Chatham

Page 84, line 23 through Page 85, line 22

Witness Ken Cheek

Page 91, line 21 through Page 92, line 8 Page 92, lines 18-25

The Company also objects to the following Hearing Exhibit filed with the Commission at the Anderson hearing purporting to support or corroborate the portions of testimony to which USSC objects:

Hearing Exhibit #3 sponsored by Witness Kevin L. Bryant

The objection to the above referenced portions of testimony and the related exhibits is generally based upon USSC's assertion that these statements relate to unsubstantiated complaints. In most or all cases, the customers' testimony does not reflect the timeframe of the issues complained of, whether the customers complained to the company, or whether the customers filed a formal complaint with the Commission. Additionally, USSC has approximately 6,854 water customers and 376 wastewater customers. Of these, only 44 customers, or 0.61%, testified before the Commission. This level of customer complaints is de minimis and immaterial and is, therefore, not an appropriate reflection of the Company or its services.

If you need any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me. With best regards, I am,

Sincerely,

Willoughby & Hoefer, P.A.

John M.S. Hoefer

cc: Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esquire

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2007-286-WS

| IN RE: |) | |
|---|------------------|------------------------|
| Application of Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. for adjustment of rates and charges and modifications to certain terms and conditions for the provision of water and sewer service. |)))) | CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE |

This is to certify that I have caused to be served this day one (1) copy of **Applicant's Objection to Customer Testimony** by placing same in the care and custody of the United States

Postal Service with first class postage affixed thereto and addressed as follows:

Jeffrey M. Nelson, Esquire
Office of Regulatory Staff
1441 Main Street, 3rd Floor
Columbia, South Carolina 29201

Clark Fancher

Columbia, South Carolina This 10th day of December, 2007.

> RECEIVEL 2007 DEC TO PH 2: 55 SC PUBLIC SERVICE